

Introduction: The Sexual Politics of Austerity

Cesare Di Feliciantonio¹

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven & Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli per l'Economia, il Territorio e la Finanza, Sapienza- Università di Roma cesare.difeliciantonio@uniroma1.it

Gavin Brown

Department of Geography, University of Leicester, University Road Leicester LE1 7RH, UK gpb10@le.ac.uk

The sexual politics of the neoliberalism/austerity continuum

Neoliberal rationality appears as common-sense (Hall and O'Shea, 2013) in almost every geographical region, forging political and economic agendas, as well as investing every domain of social and personal life (Brenner *et al*, 2010, Cooper, 2008, Harvey, 2005). In this respect, the affirmation of austerity politics as the main response to the global financial crisis originated in the US mortgage market from 2007 marks the triumph of the neoliberal order, its key-principles being intensified and unchallenged transnationally (Aalbers, 2013, Dardot and Laval, 2014, Peck, 2013). At the global scale the impact of the neoliberal reason on welfare regimes seems to be inescapable: cuts in services and public expenditure, the emergence of new contractual forms of public/private partnership (and ownership of assets), homeownership promoted as the key towards an asset-based

Published under Creative Commons licence: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works

welfare provision through the expansion of credit and the consequent raising of indebtedness for the consumer-citizen (Aalbers, 2008, Ascoli and Ranci, 2002, Rolnik, 2013, Ronald, 2008, Watson, 2009). The main consequences of this global trend are rising inequalities, and increasing poverty, unemployment and indebtedness; whilst the hegemony of the neoliberal principle of self-responsibilization has led towards the progressive criminalization and blaming of people living in poverty (Taylor-Gooby, 2013, Wacquant, 2009). How do such processes impact on sexuality and sexual politics? The answer is at least twofold, highlighting the contradictory character of capitalism, defined by Bassi (2006) as the tension between *capture* and *escape*.

The neoliberalization of politics has been able to subsume most of the main demands for equality (on the basis of sexual orientation) across the Global North and beyond. In some places, this has also (or alternatively) included equality on the basis of gender identity. Indeed a progressive and selective inclusion of sexual 'others' has taken place in several countries with non-discrimination and equal access to the main institutions of the nation-state (such as marriage and military service) becoming basic principles defining contemporary liberal 'democracy'. Within this process, specific bodies and communities have gained prominent visibility and legitimacy. 'Tolerance' has become framed as one of the main factors associated with economic growth (Florida, 2002); as a result, (sub)national economies, notably cities, compete to attract the gay(-friendly) "creative class" (Rushbrook, 2002). Following Duggan's (2002) identification of these trends as a new form of 'homonormativity' (defined as an expression of the sexual politics of neoliberalism), many scholars have been quick to identify proliferating examples of homonormativity, which has often been associated with the exclusion of racialised Others through homonationalist citizenship formations (Nast, 2002, Puar, 2006) or, more generally, the affirmation of 'consumer citizenship' (Bell and Binnie, 2004). Duggan's identification of the new homonormativity as the sexual politics of neoliberalism was formulated when the global economy still appeared to be in a boom period. In this collection of essays, we question how the sexual politics of neoliberalism have changed since neoliberalism entered a period of crisis and the unfettered class warfare of revanchist 'austerity'.

However, neoliberal processes of legitimation leave behind all those 'unwanted' subjects who do not conform to socially hegemonic criteria of respectability: undocumented/illegal migrants, queers of colour, sex workers, people with HIV/AIDS, people with disabilities, trans and gender-variant people who do not conform to conventional gender performances, among others (Casey, 2007, Cover, 2013, Sothern, 2007)². This has led several scholars to stress the need for intersectional, grounded, place-based analyses (Binnie, 2011, Haritaworn, 2007,

² Of course, social attitudes to people in all of these groups are more complex, contradictory and geographically varied than our simple, illustrative list might suggest.

Hubbard, 2013, Rodó-de-Zárate, 2014, Seidman, 2011), going beyond what Michael Brown (2012) has defined as the 'holy trinity' within the literature on intersectionality: class, gender and race. The paper by Michelle Billies in this themed section engages with the concrete consequences of the adoption of austerity-led welfare reforms, combined with the affirmation of specific forms of (gay and white) homonormativity, on the lives of low income, racially and ethnically diverse LGBTGNC (gender nonconforming) subjects in New York.

In the current period, support for (or opposition to) equality on the basis of sexual orientation no longer maps neatly onto a Left/Right divide in politics. Several 'economically conservative, but socially liberal' parties of the Centre Right have promoted the extension of legal equality for sexual minorities. A case in point is the promotion of marriage equality for same-sex couples by the pro-austerity, Conservative-led Coalition under David Cameron's leadership in England and Wales. In his contribution to the section, Gavin Brown analyses the concomitant debate on 'marriage equality' and the implementation of cuts to the welfare system in Britain (highlighted by the 'Bedroom Tax') in order to show the tight connections between homonormativity and heteronormativity. He suggests that the symbolic and material inclusion of certain same-sex couples through the extension of marriage has occurred alongside the denigration of other types of families and intimate, domestic arrangements.

At the same time, the progressive incorporation of LGBT rights within the main political agenda of 'liberal' democracies is not an uncontested process. Indeed the approval of laws on equality and gay marriage - not to mention adoption - has fostered the violent opposition of ultra-conservative forces. France is one of the most emblematic cases in this respect, with Catholic and nationalist groups taking the streets with the manif pout tous to proclaim their opposition to the law approved by the socialist government known as mariage pour tous (e.g. Béraud, 2014, Ravazzolo, 2014). If we also take into account the violent attacks carried out by Catholic institutions in several countries (e.g. France, Italy) against a presumed 'gender theory' that would reverse the 'natural' order of family and education (Fillod, 2014, Garbagnoli, 2014), we see how the geographies of 'homonegativity' are much more complex than the rigid West/Eastern Europe divide often portrayed in academic and journalistic commentaries (Lottes and Alkula, 2011, van den Akker et al, 2013). Indeed the mainstream hierarchy of the Catholic Church has been relatively muted in its opposition to same-sex marriage in countries such as Ireland where public opinion had clearly turned against a more conservative interpretation of marriage. In many Central and Eastern European countries - one of the regions where austerity politics was first imposed (during the post-Soviet transition, when a 'shock doctrine' was imposed to facilitate the reintroduction of capitalist markets) - the last two decades have witnessed violent opposition to any reforms concerning 'gay rights' and even to Pride demonstrations. In this context, more liberal approaches to sexual difference have been associated with 'external',

'European' values and identities which are deemed to threaten national (orthodox) values, cultures and identities (Davydova, 2012, Moss, 2014, Renkin, 2015).

Within such a complex and variegated political picture, queer scholarship has mostly focused on the critique of homonormativity, extending the application of this concept far beyond the US and Northern Europe. Against such a generalization, several scholars have addressed a geographical-based critique, stressing how the geographies of homonormativity are contextual and place-based (Browne and Bakshi, 2013, Noble, 2012). To the contrary, the queer critique to homonormativity reiterates a strong metropolitan bias, overlooking the everyday lived experiences of people outside the main metropolitan areas where this debate originated (Brown, 2009, 2012). Building on these geographical criticisms, the contribution by Cesare Di Feliciantonio challenges monolithic accounts of homonormativity by adopting the perspective of the interplay between 'neoliberalism as exception' and 'exceptions to neoliberalism' to analyse the sexual politics of neoliberalism and austerity in Italy.

With most works focused on homonormativity and multiple forms of exclusion and privilege, less attention has been paid to how austerity and late neoliberalism impact on queer lives and politics. Indeed it is reasonable to think that the cuts to welfare systems and the deeply neoliberal reforms of housing have strong consequences on queer lives, like people with HIV/AIDS that may now experience a lack of access to treatments (as is happening in Greece), or singles that find themselves excluded from social housing because the limited remaining stocks of social housing have been prioritized for couples with children³. When exploring the impact of austerity on sexual politics and intimate life, it is important to keep discussions open, not simply focused on the experiences of those who fall under the LGBT umbrella. The current conjuncture poses several pressing questions: how is heteronormativity changing (especially amongst younger age groups)? Why are newer sex and gender identities, such as asexuality and non-binary gender forms emerging at this time?

These are crucial questions to keep in mind for this field of research, as hegemonic models of gender identity and sexual conduct continually change because of a plurality of factors, like the diffusion of new digital technologies. In this respect, age represents a main factor to take into account, as highlighted by a growing literature about the emergence of 'post-mo' and 'post-gay' identities (Brown, 2004, Ghaziani, 2011, 2014, Nash, 2013) which no longer assign a central definitional importance to sexual orientation, leading people to refuse to use labels to describe themselves and favour more sexually mixed venues. This marks a

³ The impact of the landscape of welfare as reshaped by austerity politics on different kinds of households- including singles- requires more scrutiny. Indeed we should not forget that access to marriage and adoption or alternative forms of parenthood remains deeply unequal even across the Global North, thus the impact of welfare reforms is variegated according to different legal systems.

generational divide between those who fought for equality against the stigmatization linked to the HIV/AIDS pandemic of the 1980-1990s and current young generations who do not perceive such diffuse discrimination anymore (Nash, 2013). This process has a deep impact on the configuration of urban spaces and 'gay territorialities': indeed several scholars have pointed out the dismissal of the 'gayborhood' and the concomitant diffusion of 'queer-friendly' neighborhoods (Gorman-Murray and Waitt, 2009, Brown, 2014, Nash and Gorman-Murray, 2014, Reynolds, 2009).

While marking the triumph of neoliberal rationality, austerity also opens new possibilities for dissent and political subjectification; in this respect there is an urgent need to explore further the presence of queer and LGBT groups and subjects within social movements and critical formations that are contesting mainstream politics and discourses. This appears as a crucial step in order to understand how queer politics and positionalities move beyond the critique of equality politics under neoliberalism, re-configuring oppositional politics. Such a critical assessment should be addressed also towards queer politics itself, 'unveiling' the multiple forms of 'queer complicity' (Oswin, 2004), thus recognizing how queer politics has often overlooked the ways in which queer subcultures reproduce inequalities and different forms of privilege. The increasing focus on the individual, highlighted by an anti-social turn within queer scholarship (Edelman, 2004, Halberstam, 2008), has indeed led towards the progressive dismissal of social and material critique, leaving behind the collective effort of 'world-making' (Muñoz, 2009). Konstantinos Eleftheriadis' paper engages with the new political horizons opened up for queer activism by austerity politics in one of the most emblematic cases of national austerity: Greece. Working together with other social movements, queer groups have been able to adopt a variegated agenda of claims, while creating their own, autonomous space.

Presentation of the themed section

This themed section seeks to question how the sexual politics of neoliberalism has altered since the global financial crisis of 2008, as neoliberalism has entered a period of austerity and intensified revanchism. In this respect, the articles composing the section are aimed at showing how national projects of sexual citizenship are not unequivocal and pre-determined along a fixed path. To this end, this themed section presents four very different national cases, each casting light on a specific aspect of the complex and multifold relationships between austerity and sexual politics. On one side we find the two countries that were the center of the crisis when it started in 2007, the US and the UK: in both cases severe austerity measures in social policies have followed the crisis, while massive support (in terms of liquidity) was granted by their national governments to financial institutions. On the other side, we find two 'peripheral' countries in terms of the financialization of the economy and exposure on global markets: Greece and Italy. Nevertheless they have been exposed to a massive debt and financial crisis (still in progress) followed by the adoption of draconian austerity measures, especially in Greece. These policies have impacted dramatically on the welfare system and the material living conditions of people: the shutting of hospitals, the lack of basic medical treatments, and the rapid increase of suicide, being some of the most shocking consequences.

Gavin Brown analyses two recent social policy developments in the UK, 'marriage equality' and the 'Bedroom Tax' (as a main example of the changes in the welfare system), in order to show the tight connections between homonormativity and heteronormativity. While marriage equality seems to privilege specific forms of coupledom and domestic economies, the Bedroom Tax marks an attack to those singles and couples who do not conform to the normative values prompted by austerity politics in Britain.

Based on data collected through a participatory action research (PAR) project in New York, Michelle Billies' contribution sheds light on the impact of the 2007-2008 crisis on the everyday life of low income LGBTGNC subjects. Supported by increasingly homonormative discourses and practices favoring the white, multi-cultural, class-privileged gay subject, neoliberal policies tend to punish racially and ethnically diverse low-income LGBTGNC communities. However, the construction of specific spatialities for the poor, like homeless shelters, is seen to forge paradoxical constructions of freedom challenging the hegemonic individualizing neoliberal conception.

By analyzing the case of Italy (a country usually defined as 'backward' in relation to sexual politics), Cesare Di Feliciantonio's paper challenges monolithic accounts of homonormativity as a uniform process all around the Global North. Following Ong's conceptualization of the interplay between 'neoliberalism as exception' and 'exceptions to neoliberalism', the paper shows how this same interplay characterizes the sexual politics of neoliberalism and austerity in Italy. Indeed the country represents an 'exception' to the neoliberal model of sexual politics in relation to LGBT issues, while 'exception' has been invoked in the country to regulate sexuality, especially sex work.

Konstantinos Eleftheriadis analyses the discursive production developed by autonomous queer groups in Greece against the 'sexual politics of austerity' characterized by gender hierarchizations and the concomitant rising of neo-Nazi formations. Working together with several social movements arising out of the current phase of austerity politics, queer groups have been able to adopt a variegated agenda of claims, while creating their own, autonomous space challenging the imagery of the austerity-driven Nation.

Acknowledgments

Our biggest thanks go to the participants of the session "The sexual politics of austerity. Queer everyday life in neoliberal times" organized for the II European Geographies of Sexualities Conference held in Lisbon in September 2013: this

themed section is a follow-up of the debate originated there. Special thanks go to Paulo Jorge Vieira who organized the session with us in Lisbon. Thanks to Gianfranco Rebucini, Gracia Trujllo, Rafal Majka and Tomek Sikora for their engagement in the debate on this themed section. Finally, very special thanks go to Kath Browne and Sarah Hunt for their extraordinary support and care to this project, making possible to complete it in a very reasonable amount of time.

References

- Aalbers, Manuel. 2008. The Financialization of Home and the Mortgage Market Crisis. Competition & Change 12(2), 148-66.
- Aalbers, Manuel. 2013. Neoliberalism is Dead... Long Live Neoliberalism! International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 37(3), 1083-90.
- Ascoli, Ugo and Costanzo Ranci (eds.). 2002. *Dilemmas of the Welfare Mix. The New Structure of Welfare in an Era of Privatization*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Bassi, Camila. 2006. Riding the Dialectical Waves of Gay Political Economy: A Story from Birmingham's Commercial Gay Scene. *Antipode* 38(2), 213-35.
- Bell, David and Jon Binnie. 2004. Authenticating Queer Space: Citizenship, Urbanism and Governance. *Urban Studies* 41(9), 1807-1820.
- Béraud, Céline. 2014. Un front commun des religions contre le mariage pour tous? *Contemporary French Civilization* 39(3), 335-49.
- Binnie, Jon. 2011. Class, sexuality and space: A comment. *Sexualities* 14(1), 21-26.
- Brenner, Neil, Jamie Peck and Nik Theodore. 2010. After Neoliberalization? *Globalizations* 7(3), 327-45.
- Brown, Gavin. 2004. Cosmopolitan camouflage: (post-)gay space in Spitalfields, East London. In, Jon Binnie, Julian Holloway, Steve Millington and Craig Young (eds.), *Cosmopolitan Urbanism*. New York: Routledge, pp. 130–45.
- Brown, Gavin. 2009. Thinking beyond homonormativity: performative explorations of diverse gay economies. *Environment and Planning A* 41(6), 1496-510.
- Brown, Gavin. 2012. Homonormativity: A Metropolitan Concept that Denigrates "Ordinary" Gay Lives. *Journal of Homosexuality* 59(7), 1065-72.
- Brown, Michael. 2012. Gender and sexuality I: Intersectional anxieties. *Progress in Human Geography* 36(4), 541-50.
- Brown, Michael. 2014. Gender and sexuality II: There goes the gayborhood? *Progress in Human Geography* 38(4), 457-65.

- Browne, Kath and Leela Bakshi. 2013. Ordinary in Brighton? LGBT, Activisms and the City. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Casey, Mark. 2007. The Queer Unwanted and Their Undesirable 'Otherness'. In, Kath Browne, Jason Lim and Gavin Brown (eds.), *Geographies of Sexualities. Theory, Practices and Politics*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 125-35.
- Cooper, Melinda. 2008. *Life as Surplus: Biotechnology and Capitalism in the Neoliberal Era*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Cover, Rob. 2013. Conditions of Living: Queer Youth Suicide, Homonormative Tolerance, and Relative Misery. *Journal of LGBT Youth* 10(4), 328-50.
- Dardot, Pierre and Christian Laval. 2014. *The New Way of the World: On Neoliberal Society*. London: Verso books.
- Davydova, Darja. 2012. Baltic Pride 2010: Articulating Sexual Difference and Heteronormative Nationalism in Contemporary Lithuania. *Sextures* 2(2), 32-46.
- Duggan, Lisa. 2002. The new homonormativity: the sexual politics of neoliberalism. In, Russ Castronovo and Dana D. Nelson (eds.), *Materialising Democracy: Towards a Revitalized Cultural Politics*. Durham: Duke University Press, pp. 175-94.
- Edelman, Lee. 2004. *No Future: Queer Theory and the Death Drive*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Fillod, Odile. 2014. L'invention de la "théorie du genre": le mariage blanc du Vatican et de la science. *Contemporary French Civilization* 39(3), 321-33.
- Florida, Richard. 2002. The rise of the creative class: And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure, Community And Everyday Life. New York: Basic Books.
- Garbagnoli, Sara. 2014. Le Vatican contre la dénaturalisation de l'ordre sexuel: structure et enjeux d'un discours institutionnel réactionnaire. *Synergies Italie* 10, 145-67.
- Ghaziani, Amin. 2011. Post-Gay Collective Identity Construction. *Social Problems* 58(1), 99-125.
- Ghaziani, Amin. 2014. Measuring urban sexual cultures. *Theory and Society* 43(3-4), 371-93.
- Gorman-Murray, Andrew and Gordon Waitt. 2009. Queer-friendly neighbourhoods: an interrogation of social cohesion across sexual difference in two Australian neighbourhood. *Environment and Planning A* 41(12), 2855-73.
- Halberstam, Judith. 2008. The Anti-Social Turn in Queer Studies. *Graduate Journal of Social Science* 5(2), 140-56.

- Hall, Stuart and Alan O'Shea. 2013. Common-sense neoliberalism. *Soundings* 55, 9-25.
- Haritaworn, Jin. 2007. Queer Mixed Race? Interrogating Homonormativity through Thai Interraciality. In, Kath Browne, Jason Lim and Gavin Brown (eds.), *Geographies of Sexualities. Theory, Practices and Politics*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 101-11.
- Harvey, David. 2005. A Brief History of Neoliberalism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hubbard, Phil. 2013. Kissing is not a universal right: Sexuality, law and the scales of citizenship. *Geoforum* 49, 224-32.
- Lottes, Ilsa L. and Tapani Alkula. 2011. An Investigation of Sexuality-Related Attitudinal Patterns and Characteristics Related to Those Patterns for 32 European Countries. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy* 8(2), 77-92.
- Moss, Kevin. 2014. Split Europe: Homonationalism and Homophobia in Croatia. In, Phillip Ayoub and David Paternotte (eds.), *LGBT Activism and the Making of Europe: A Rainbow Europe?*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan, pp. 212-32.
- Muñoz, José Esteban. 2009. Cruising Utopia: The Then and There of Queer Futurity. New York: NYU Press.
- Nash, Catherine J. 2013. The age of the "post-mo"? Toronto's gay Village and a new generation. *Geoforum* 49, 243–52.
- Nash, Catherine J. and Andrew Gorman-Murray. 2014. LGBT Neighborhoods and 'New Mobilities': Towards Understanding Transformations in sexual and Gendered Urban Landscapes. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 38(3), 756-72.
- Nast, Heidi. 2002. Queer patriarchies, queer racisms, international. *Antipode* 34(5), 874-909.
- Noble, Glen. 2012. Spaces of privilege. Doctoral thesis, University of Brighton.
- Oswin, Nathalie. 2004. Towards Radical Geographies of Complicit Queer Futures. ACME: An International E-Journal for Critical Geographies 3(2), 79-86.
- Peck, Jamie. 2013. Explaining (with) Neoliberalism. *Territory*, *Politics*, *Governance* 1(2), 132-57.
- Puar, Jasbir. 2006. Mapping US homonormativities. *Gender, Place and Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography* 13(1), 67-88.
- Ravazzolo, Elisa. 2014. Du <<mariage pour tous>> à la <<manif pour tous>>: la construction discursive d'un conflit sociopolitique dans le débat parlamentaire. *Synergies Italie* 10, 111-24.

- Renkin, Hadley Z. 2015. Perverse Frictions: Pride, Dignity, and the Budapest LGBT March. *Ethnos: Journal of Anthropology* 80(3), 409-32.
- Reynolds, Robert. 2009. Endangered territory, endangered identity: Oxford street and the dissipation of gay life. *Journal of Australian Studies* 33(1), 79-92.
- Rodó-de-Zárate, Maria. 2014. Interseccionalidad y malestares por opresión a través de los Mapas de Relieves de la Experiencia. In, Maria das Graças Silva Nascimento Silva and Joseli Maria Silva (eds.), *Interseccionalidades, genero e sexualidades na análise espacial*. Ponta Grossa: Todapalavra, pp. 39-56.
- Rolnik, Raquel. 2013. Late Neoliberalism: The Financialization of Homeownership and Housing Rights. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 37(3), 1058-66.
- Ronald, Richard. 2008. The Ideology of Home Ownership: Homeowner Societies and the Role of Housing. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rushbrook, Dereka. 2002. Cities, Queer Space, and the Cosmopolitan Tourist. GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies 8(1-2), 183-206.
- Seidman, Steven. 2011. Class matters... but how much? Class, nation, and queer life. *Sexualities* 14(1), 36-41.
- Sothern, Matthew. 2007. HIV+ Bodyspace: AIDS and the Queer Politics of Future Negation in Aotearoa/New Zealand. In, Kath Browne, Jason Lim and Gavin Brown (eds.), *Geographies of Sexualities. Theory, Practices and Politics*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 181-94.
- Taylor-Gooby, Peter. 2013. Why Do People Stigmatise the Poor at a Time of Rapidly Increasing Inequality and What Can Be Done about It? *Political Quarterly* 84(1), 31-42.
- van den Akker, Hanneke, Rozemarijn van der Ploeg and Peer Scheepers. 2013. Disapproval of Homosexuality: Comparative Research on Individual and National Determinants of Disapproval of Homosexuality in 20 European Countries. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 25(1), 64-86.
- Wacquant, Luis. 2009. Punishing the Poor: The Neoliberal Government of Social Insecurity. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Watson, Matthew. 2009. Planning for a Future of Asset-Based Welfare? New Labour, Financialized Economic Agency and the Housing Market. *Planning Practice and Research* 24(1), 41–56.